

# A CONJECTURE ON CYCLE-PANCYCLISM IN TOURNAMENTS \*

HORTENSIA GALEANA-SÁNCHEZ <sup>1</sup>      SERGIO RAJSBAUM <sup>2</sup>

## Abstract

Let  $T$  be a Hamiltonian tournament with  $n$  vertices and  $\gamma$  a Hamiltonian cycle of  $T$ . In previous works we introduced and studied the concept of cycle-pancyclism to capture the following question: What is the maximum intersection with  $\gamma$  of a cycle of length  $k$ ? More precisely, for a cycle  $C_k$  of length  $k$  in  $T$  we denote  $\mathcal{I}_\gamma(C_k) = |A(\gamma) \cap A(C_k)|$ , the number of arcs that  $\gamma$  and  $C_k$  have in common. Let  $f(k, T, \gamma) = \max\{\mathcal{I}_\gamma(C_k) \mid C_k \subset T\}$  and  $f(n, k) = \min\{f(k, T, \gamma) \mid T \text{ is a Hamiltonian tournament with } n \text{ vertices, and } \gamma \text{ a Hamiltonian cycle of } T\}$ . In previous papers we gave a characterization of  $f(n, k)$ . In particular, the characterization implies that  $f(n, k) \geq k - 4$ . The purpose of this paper is to conjecture that for any vertex  $v$  there exists a cycle of length  $k$  containing  $v$  with  $f(n, k)$  arcs in common with  $\gamma$ . We present various particular cases in which this equality holds.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C20, 05C38.*

*Keywords and phrases: Graph Theory, Directed Graphs, Tournaments, Pancyclism.*

## 1 Introduction

Recall that a *tournament* is a digraph in which each pair of vertices is connected by exactly one arc, that is, a complete asymmetric digraph. Quoting from the classical textbook by Behzad, Chartrand and

---

\*Invited Article.

<sup>1</sup>Investigador Titular, Instituto de Matemáticas, U.N.A.M., C.U.

<sup>2</sup>Investigador Titular, Instituto de Matemáticas, U.N.A.M., C.U.

Lesniak-Foster [2] (pp. 353), among the various classes of digraphs, the tournaments are probably the most studied and most applicable. The book by Moon [8] treats these digraphs in great detail. The book by Robinson and Foulds [9], and the book [2] itself dedicate one chapter to tournaments.

Pancyclism is a classical subject in the study of tournaments; it has been treated in textbooks (e.g. [2]) and in many papers (e.g. [1, 3]). Two types of pancyclism have been considered. A tournament  $T$  is *vertex-pancyclic* if given any vertex  $v$  there are cycles of every length containing  $v$ . Similarly, a tournament  $T$  is *arc-pancyclic* if given any arc  $e$  there are cycles of every length containing  $e$ . It is well known, and perhaps surprising, that if a tournament has a cycle going through all of its vertices (i.e. it has a *Hamiltonian cycle* or the tournament is *Hamiltonian*) then it is vertex-pancyclic. This result was first proved by Moon [7], and a proof by C. Thomassen can be found in [2] pp. 358. It is easy to see that a vertex-pancyclic tournament is not necessarily arc-pancyclic.

In a previous paper, [4], we introduced the concept of *cycle-pancyclicity* to try to understand in more detail the structure of a pancyclic tournament; to explore how are the cycles of the various lengths positioned with respect to each other. We considered questions such as the following. Given a cycle  $C$  of a tournament  $T$  with  $n$  vertices, what is the maximum number of arcs which a cycle of length  $k$  contained in  $C$  has in common with  $C$ ? In [4, 5, 6] we discovered that, for every  $k$ , there is always a cycle of length  $k$ , with its vertices contained in  $C$ , and all of its arcs contained in  $C$  except for at most 4: “almost” completely contained in  $C$ . This result implies that for any given Hamiltonian cycle  $\gamma_n$  of  $T$ , there is a cycle  $\gamma_{n-1}$  of length  $n-1$  contained in  $\gamma_n$  with at most 4 edges not in  $\gamma_n$ . By considering the subtournament of  $T$  with  $n-1$  vertices induced by  $\gamma_{n-1}$ , we can repeat this argument and obtain cycles  $\gamma_{n-2}, \gamma_{n-3}, \dots$ , such that each  $\gamma_i$  is “almost” completely contained in  $\gamma_{i+1}$ .

In this paper we suggest –and present some evidence– that a similar result may hold, even if we add the requirement that the cycle “almost” completely contained in  $C$  passes through a specified vertex. Informally, assume that a Hamiltonian cycle  $\gamma$  of a tournament  $T$ , and a vertex  $0$  are given, and we ask what is the maximum number of arcs that  $\gamma$  and a cycle of length  $k$  going through  $0$  have in common. This kind of result would considerably strengthen the vertex-pancyclicity classical result.

We proceed with a formal description of the problem. Let  $T$  be a

Hamiltonian tournament with vertex set  $V$  and arc set  $A$ . Assume without loss of generality that  $V = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$  and  $\gamma = (0, 1, \dots, n-1, 0)$  is a Hamiltonian cycle of  $T$ . Let  $C_k$  denote a directed cycle of length  $k$ . For a cycle  $C_k$  we denote  $\mathcal{I}_\gamma(C_k) = |A(\gamma) \cap A(C_k)|$ , or simply  $\mathcal{I}(C_k)$  when  $\gamma$  is understood, the number of arcs that  $\gamma$  and  $C_k$  have in common. Let  $f(k, T, \gamma) = \max\{\mathcal{I}_\gamma(C_k) | C_k \subset T\}$  and  $f(n, k) = \min\{f(k, T, \gamma) | T \text{ is a Hamiltonian tournament with } n \text{ vertices, and } \gamma \text{ a Hamiltonian cycle of } T\}$ . In [4, 5, 6] we gave a characterization of  $f(n, k)$ :

- $f(n, 3) = 1$ ,  $f(n, 4) = 1$  and  $f(n, 5) = 2$  if  $n \neq 2k - 2$ ;
- $f(n, k) = k - 1$  if and only if  $n = 2k - 2$ .

For  $n \geq 2k - 4$  and  $k > 5$ ,

- $f(n, k) = k - 2$  if and only if  $n \neq 2k - 2$  and  $n \equiv k \pmod{k - 2}$ ;
- $f(n, k) = k - 3$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv k \pmod{k - 2}$ .

For  $n \leq 2k - 5$ ,

- $f(n, k) = k - 4$ .

That is, we showed that there is always a cycle  $C_k$  almost completely contained in  $\gamma$ ; except for at most 4 arcs. The purpose of this paper is to conjecture that the same results hold if we in addition require that the cycles pass through a fixed vertex; that is, that for any vertex  $v$  there exists a cycle of length  $k$  containing  $v$  with  $f(n, k)$  arcs in common with  $\gamma$ . As evidence for the conjecture, we present various particular cases in which this equality holds.

More precisely, for a vertex  $v$  of a Hamiltonian tournament  $T$  with  $n$ , let

$$\tilde{f}(k, T, \gamma, v) = \max\{\mathcal{I}_\gamma(C_k) | C_k \subset T, v \in C_k\},$$

for short denoted sometimes  $\tilde{f}(n, k, T)$ , and to stress that  $T$  has  $n$  vertices. Let  $\tilde{f}(n, k) = \min\{\tilde{f}(k, T, \gamma, v) | T, v \in T, \text{ and } \gamma \text{ a Hamiltonian cycle of } T\}$ . Clearly,  $\tilde{f}(n, k) \leq f(n, k)$ . We conjecture that  $\tilde{f}(n, k) = f(n, k)$ .

We know that the conjecture is true in the following particular cases. When

- $k = 3, 4, 5, 6$ ;
- $n = 2k - 2, 2k - 3, 2k - 4$ ;

- $r = k - 1, k - 2$ , where  $n - k + 1 \equiv r \pmod{k - 2}$ .

The proofs are identical to the ones in [4], except for the proof of case  $r = k - 2$ , which is similar, and the case  $k = 6$  which is new. For completeness we include all the proofs here.

## 2 Preliminaries

In the rest of this paper we consider an arbitrary tournament  $T$  with  $n$  vertices, with some fixed vertex  $0$ , and a Hamiltonian cycle  $\gamma = (0, 1, \dots, n - 1, 0)$ .

A *chord* of a cycle  $C$  is an arc not in  $C$  with both terminal vertices in  $C$ . The *length* of a chord  $f = (u, v)$  of  $C$ , denoted  $l(f)$ , is equal to the length of  $\langle u, C, v \rangle$ , where  $\langle u, C, v \rangle$  denotes the  $uv$ -directed path contained in  $C$ . We say that  $f$  is a  $c$ -chord if  $l(f) = c$  and  $f = (u, v)$  is a  $-c$ -chord if  $l\langle v, C, u \rangle = c$ . Observe that if  $f$  is a  $c$ -chord then it is also a  $-(n - c)$ -chord.

In what follows all notation is taken modulo  $n$ .

For any  $a$ ,  $2 \leq a \leq n - 2$ , denote by  $t_a$  the largest integer such that  $a + t_a(k - 2) < n - 1$ . The important case of  $t_{k-1}$  is denoted by  $t$  in the rest of the paper. Let  $r$  be defined as follows:  $r = n - [k - 1 + t(k - 2)]$ .

Notice the following facts.

- If  $a \leq b$ , then  $t_a \geq t_b$ .
- $t \geq 0$ .
- $2 \leq r \leq k - 1$ .

**Lemma 2.1** *If the  $a$ -chord with initial vertex  $0$  is in  $A$ , then at least one of the two following properties holds.*

- (i)  $\tilde{f}(n, k, T) \geq k - 2$ .
- (ii) *For every  $0 \leq i \leq t_a$ , the  $a + i(k - 2)$ -chord with initial vertex  $0$  is in  $A$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose that (ii) in the lemma is false, and let

$$j = \min\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, t_a\} \mid (a + i(k - 2), 0) \in A\},$$

then

$C_k = (0, a + (j - 1)(k - 2)) \cup \langle a + (j - 1)(k - 2), \gamma, a + j(k - 2) \rangle \cup (a + j(k - 2), 0)$  is a cycle such that  $\mathcal{I}(C_k) = k - 2$  with  $0 \in C_k$ , and hence (i) in the lemma is true.

### 3 The Cases $k = 3, 4, 5$

**Theorem 3.1**  $\tilde{f}(n, 3) \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** Let  $i = \min\{j \in V \mid (j, 0) \in A\}$ . Observe that  $i$  is well defined since  $(n-1, 0) \in A$ . Clearly  $i \neq 1$ , so  $i-1 > 0$  and then  $(0, i-1, i, 0)$  is a cycle  $C_3$  with  $\mathcal{I}(C_3) \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 3.2**  $\tilde{f}(n, 4) \geq 1$ .

**Proof:** We proceed by contradiction. Taking  $a = 3$  and  $x_0 = 0$  in Lemma 2.1 we get that for each  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq t_a$ , the  $(3+2i)$ -chord  $(0, 3+2i)$  is in  $A$ . Recall that  $t_a$  is the greatest integer such that  $3 + 2t_a < n - 1$ .

When  $n$  is even, it holds that  $t_a = (n-4)/2 - 1$ ,  $(0, 3+2t_a) \in A$ . That is,  $(0, n-3) \in A$  and  $C_4 = (0, n-3, n-2, n-1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_4) = 3$ . When  $n$  is odd, it holds that  $t_a = \lfloor \frac{n-4}{2} \rfloor$  and  $(0, 3+2t_a) \in A$ , namely  $(0, n-2) \in A$ .

Now, we may assume that  $(n-3, 0) \in A$ , because otherwise the cycle  $C_4 = (0, n-3, n-2, n-1, 0)$  satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(C_4) = 3$ . If  $(n-1, n-3) \in A$  then  $C_4 = (n-1, n-3, 0, n-2, n-1)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_4) = 1$ . Else,  $(n-3, n-1) \in A$  and  $C_4 = (n-3, n-1, 0, n-4, n-3)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_4) = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.3**  $\tilde{f}(n, 5) \geq 2$ .

**Proof:** We consider the three cases  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Case  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Taking  $a = 4$  in Lemma 2.1, we get that  $(0, n-4) \in A$  and  $C_5 = (0, n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_5) = 4$ .

Case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Taking  $a = 4$  in Lemma 2.1, we get that  $4 + 3t_4 = n - 3$ . Hence  $(0, n-3) \in A$  and  $(0, n-6) \in A$ . Observe that  $(n-4, 0) \in A$ . Otherwise  $(0, n-4) \in A$  and  $C_5 = (0, n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_5) = 4$ .

Now, if  $(n-2, n-5) \in A$  then  $C_5 = (n-2, n-5, n-4, 0, n-3, n-2)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_5) = 2$ . Else  $(n-5, n-2) \in A$  and  $C_5 = (0, n-6, n-5, n-2, n-1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_5) = 3$ .

Case  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . If  $(0, 3) \in A$  then taking  $a = 3$  in Lemma 2.1, we obtain that  $(0, n-6) \in A$  and  $(0, n-3) \in A$ . The proof proceeds exactly as in the proof for the case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Hence, let us assume that  $(3, 0) \in A$ .

Observe that  $(5, 0) \in A$ , because otherwise  $(0, 5) \in A$  and taking  $a = 5$  in Lemma 2.1, we get that  $(0, n - 4) \in A$  and  $C_5 = (0, n - 4, n - 3, n - 2, n - 1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_5) = 4$ .

Therefore we have that  $(5, 0) \in A$  and  $(3, 0) \in A$ . Considering the cycle  $(0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 0)$  it is easy to check that  $(5, 3) \in A$  and  $(1, 5) \in A$  (or else the proof follows). Analyzing the direction of the arc joining 2 and 5 we see that in any case there is a cycle  $C_5$  with  $\mathcal{I}(C_5) = 2$ : If  $(5, 2) \in A$  then the cycle is  $C_5 = (3, 0, 1, 5, 2, 3)$ , else, if  $(2, 5) \in A$  then the cycle is  $C_5 = (3, 0, 1, 2, 5, 3)$ .

## 4 The case of $n = 2k - 4$

In this section it is proved that if  $n = 2k - 4$  then  $\tilde{f}(n, k) \geq k - 3$ .

**Theorem 4.1** *If  $n = 2k - 4$  then  $\tilde{f}(n, k) \geq k - 3$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two vertices of  $T$  such that  $l\langle x, \gamma, y \rangle = l\langle y, \gamma, x \rangle = k - 2$ . Without loss of generality we can assume that  $x = 0$ ,  $y = k - 2$  and  $(0, k - 2) \in A$ . Hence  $(k - 1, 2)$  is a  $(k - 1)$ -chord,  $l\langle 2, \gamma, k - 1 \rangle = k - 3$ ,  $(1, k)$  is a  $(k - 1)$ -chord and  $l\langle 2, \gamma, k + 1 \rangle = k - 1$ .

- $(k, 2) \in A$ . Otherwise  $(2, k) \in A$  and then  $C_k = (k - 2, k - 1, 2, k) \cup \langle k, \gamma, 0 \rangle \cup (0, k - 2)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_k) = k - 3$ .
- $(1, k - 1) \in A$ . Otherwise  $(k - 1, 1) \in A$  and then  $C_k = (k - 1, 1, k) \cup \langle k, \gamma, 0 \rangle \cup (0, k - 2, k - 1)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_k) = k - 3$ .

Therefore, since  $(k, 2) \in A$  and  $(1, k - 1) \in A$  then  $C_k = (1, k - 1, k, 2, k + 1) \cup \langle k + 1, \gamma, 1 \rangle$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_k) = k - 3$ . Notice that  $0 \in \langle k + 1, \gamma, 1 \rangle$ .

## 5 The case of $r = k - 1$ and $r = k - 2$

In this section it is proved that if  $r = k - 1$  or  $r = k - 2$  then  $\tilde{f}(n, k) \geq k - 3$ .

**Theorem 5.1** *If  $r = k - 1$  or  $r = k - 2$  then  $\tilde{f}(n, k) \geq k - 3$ .*

**Proof:** Assume  $r = k - 1$ . By Lemma 2.1 (taking  $i = 0$ ) either  $\tilde{f}(n, k, T) \geq k - 2$  or  $(0, k - 1) \in A$ . In the latter case we have that  $\langle k - 1 + t(k - 2), \gamma, 0 \rangle \cup (0, k - 1 + t(k - 2))$  is a cycle of length  $k$  intersecting  $\gamma$  in  $k - 1$  arcs. Thus, in both cases,  $\tilde{f}(n, k, T) \geq k - 2$ .

Now, assume  $r = k - 2$  and  $\tilde{f}(n, k, T) < k - 3$ .

We consider the vertices  $x = k - 1 + t(k - 2)$ ,  $y = k - 1 + (t - 1)(k - 2)$ . Observe that when  $t = 0$  we obtain  $y = 1$ .

- (i)  $(0, x) \in A$ . It follows from Lemma 2.1.
- (ii)  $(x - 1, 0) \in A$ . It follows directly from the case  $r = k - 1$ .
- (iii)  $(x, y) \in A$ . If  $(x, y) \notin A$  then  $(y, x) \in A$  and  $(y, x) \cup \langle x, \gamma, 0 \rangle \cup (0, y)$  (Lemma 2.1 implies  $(0, y) \in A$ ) is a cycle of length  $k$  intersecting  $\gamma$  in at least  $k - 2$  arcs.

It follows from (i), (ii) and (iii) that  $(0, x, y) \cup \langle y, \gamma, x - 1 \rangle \cup (x - 1, 0)$  is a cycle of length  $k$  which intersects  $\gamma$  in at least  $k - 3$  arcs. A contradiction.

The case of  $n = 2k - 3$  follows from this theorem because in this case  $r = k - 2$ .

The case of  $n = 2k - 2$  is trivial.

## 6 The Case $k = 6$

**Theorem 6.1**  $\tilde{f}(7, 6) = 2$ .

**Proof:** By Theorem 7.5 of [4],  $f(7, 6) < 3$ , and therefore  $\tilde{f}(7, 6) < 3$ . We proceed to prove that  $\tilde{f}(7, 6) \geq 2$ .

We consider  $\gamma = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)$ , and construct a cycle  $C_6$  going through 0 with at least 2 arcs in common with  $\gamma$ . Clearly, we can assume that the arcs  $(2, 0)$ ,  $(4, 2)$ ,  $(6, 4)$  and  $(0, 5)$  are in  $A$  because otherwise there exists a cycle  $C_6$  passing through 0 with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 5$ .

Consider two cases:  $(0, 3) \in A$  or  $(3, 0) \in A$ . For the case  $(0, 3) \in A$ , we first prove that  $(2, 6) \in A$ . Otherwise,  $(6, 2) \in A$  and  $C_6 = (0, 3, 4, 5, 6, 2, 0)$  goes through 0 and has  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ . Thus  $(2, 6) \in A$ , and we show that also  $(2, 5)$  must also be in  $A$ . If  $(5, 2) \in A$  then  $C_6 = (0, 3, 4, 5, 2, 6, 0)$  goes through 0 and has  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ . Since  $(0, 3) \in A$  and  $(2, 5) \in A$  we have  $C_6 = (0, 3, 4, 2, 5, 6, 0)$  that goes through 0 and has  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ .

The case where  $(3, 0) \in A$  we have  $C_6 = (0, 5, 6, 4, 2, 3, 0)$  that goes through 0 and has  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 2$ .

**Theorem 6.2**  $\tilde{f}(n, 6) \geq 3$  if  $n \geq 8$ .

**Proof:** We consider the four cases  $n \equiv i \pmod{4}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ .

Case  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

First notice that  $(n-1, 4) \in A$ , since otherwise  $C_6 = (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, n-1, 0)$  goes through 0 and has  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 5$ . Also,  $(6, 0) \in A$ , because otherwise, if  $(0, 6) \in A$  by Lemma 2.1,  $(0, n-5) \in A$  and  $C_6 = (0, n-5, n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, 0)$  goes through 0 and has  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 5$ . Again by Lemma 2.1,  $(0, n-2) \in A$ . We conclude the proof if this case with  $C_6 = (0, n-2, n-1, 4, 5, 6, 0)$  that goes through 0 and has  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ .

Case  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Taking  $a = 5$  in Lemma 2.1, we get that  $(0, n-5) \in A$  and  $C_6 = (0, n-5, n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 5$ .

Case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Taking  $a = 5$  in Lemma 2.1, we get that  $5 + 4t_5 = n-4$ . Hence  $(0, n-4) \in A$  and  $(0, n-8) \in A$ . Observe that  $(n-5, 0) \in A$ . Otherwise  $(0, n-5) \in A$  and  $C_6 = (0, n-5, n-4, n-3, n-2, n-1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 5$ .

Now, if  $(n-2, n-6) \in A$  then  $C_6 = (n-2, n-6, n-5, 0, n-4, n-3, n-2)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ . Else  $(n-6, n-2) \in A$  and  $C_6 = (0, n-8, n-7, n-6, n-2, n-1, 0)$  is a cycle with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 4$ . Notice that this cycle is well defined, since  $n \geq 9$ . This is so because  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \geq 8$ .

Case  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . If  $(0, 4) \in A$  then taking  $a = 4$  in Lemma 2.1, we obtain that  $(0, n-4) \in A$ . The proof proceeds exactly as in the proof for the case  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Hence, let us assume that  $(4, 0) \in A$ .

Observe that  $(6, 0) \in A$ , because otherwise  $(0, 6) \in A$  and taking  $a = 6$  in Lemma 2.1, we get that  $(0, n-2) \in A$ , and the proof proceeds exactly as in the proof for the case  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . It follows that  $(5, 3) \in A$ , because if  $(3, 5) \in A$  then  $C_6 = (0, 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 0)$  is a cycle  $C_6$  with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 4$ .

Now,  $(5, 2) \in A$ , because if  $(2, 5) \in A$  then  $C_6 = (0, 1, 2, 5, 3, 4, 0)$  is a cycle  $C_6$  with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ . Therefore,  $(5, 1) \in A$ , because if  $(1, 5) \in A$  then  $C_6 = (0, 1, 5, 2, 3, 4, 0)$  is a cycle  $C_6$  with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ .

Finally, using the chords  $(0, 5), (5, 1), (4, 0)$  we get  $C_6 = (0, 5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 0)$  is a cycle  $C_6$  with  $\mathcal{I}(C_6) = 3$ .

### Acknowledgement

We thank the anonymous referees for helpful comments.



Hortensia Galeana-Sánchez  
Instituto de Matemáticas  
U. Nacional Autónoma de México  
C.U., Circuito Exterior  
D.F. 04510, México  
hgaleana@servidor.unam.mx

Sergio Rajsbaum  
Instituto de Matemáticas  
U. Nacional Autónoma de México  
C.U., Circuito Exterior  
D.F. 04510, México  
rajsbaum@math.unam.mx

## References

- [1] B. Alspach, *Cycles of each length in regular tournaments*, Canadian Math. Bull. **10** (1967), 283–286.
- [2] M. Behzad, G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak-Foster, *Graphs & Digraphs*, Prindle, Weber & Schmidt International Series, 1979.
- [3] J.C. Bermond and C. Thomassen, *Cycles in digraphs – A survey*, J. Graph Theory **5** (1981), vol. 43, 145–157.
- [4] H. Galeana-Sánchez and S. Rajsbaum, *Cycle-pancyclism in tournaments I*, Graphs and Combinatorics **11** (1995), 233–243.
- [5] H. Galeana-Sánchez and S. Rajsbaum, *Cycle-pancyclism in tournaments II*, Graphs and Combinatorics **12** (1996), 9–16.
- [6] H. Galeana-Sánchez and S. Rajsbaum, *Cycle-pancyclism in tournaments III*, Graphs and Combinatorics **13** (1997), 57–63.
- [7] J.W. Moon, *On subtournaments of a tournament*, Canad. Math. Bull. **9** (1966), 297–301.
- [8] J.W. Moon, *Topics on Tournaments*, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1968.
- [9] D.F. Robinson and L.R. Foulds, *Digraphs: Theory and Techniques*, Gordon and Breach Science Publishing, 1980.